United States o	f America vs. United States	Distri	et Co	art fo
055540445	DAN BARTLETT C RK NORTHER DIST	TRICT OF	OKLAHOM.	<u> </u>
DEFENDANT	> DOCKET NO. > _	84-CR-23	-C	
A. S. A.	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMEN	T ORDI	ER AO	(45 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	монтн 06	2 %	YEAR 84
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL. However the court advised defendant of right to counsel have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereus			
	XX WITH COUNSEL Allen Smallwood, retained (Name of counsel)			
PLEA	LXXI GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NO	GUILTY	
	There being a finding/vændiktkof NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged XX GUILTY.		·	
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violate 1461 as charged in the Indictment.	ed Title	18 U.S.	, C .
JUDGMENT				
SENTENCE OR Probation Order	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and con which whi	nvicted and order SWOWN KIX KIX is suspe :	ed that: Topscol scoolsofs nded and	k kadaala
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF				
PROBATION	· 角質 29 1984			
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the ge reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reductions time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permit probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	ce or extend the p	eriod of proba-	tion, and a
!	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends	,		
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION		a certified and commi	d that the Clerk copy of this ju tment to the U or qualified offi	idgment J.S. Mar-
) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SIGNED BY	ict Judge			
XX M XX	H. DALE COOK Date 6-28-84	J		
	II. DILLE COOK	-		

United States of	America vs. United State	es District Court fo
DEFENDANT	>	STRICT OF OKLAHOMA
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITM	ENT ORDER A0-245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DAY YEAR 06 27 84
COUNSEL	However the court advised defendant of right to court have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant the	reupon waived assistance of counsel.
	XXI WITH COUNSEL Patrick A. Williams, ret (Name of counsel)	
PLEA	KX GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that NOLO CONTENDER there is a factual basis for the plea,	※第27 1984
FINDING & JUDGMENT	There being a finding/værdickof LXX GUILTY. Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having viola Section 656 as charged in Count I in the Indi	Jack C. Silver, Clerk Lited Title 18 U.S.C. Letment.
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronoun was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and has be known and has been accounted by the court of the co	i convicted and ordered that: 被欢求你欢欢 你然你你就就 就就就就就 ntence is suspended
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	(5) YEARS from this date. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant, M Robison is to make restitution to Community & Trust in the amount of \$2,265.27.	arion Eugene
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years perpendicular of the probation occurring during the probation period.	reduce of extend the period of probation, and a
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recomme	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY LXXX U.S. Distr		<u>-</u>
	n. Date 0-2/-04	

		UNITED STATE NORTHERN	S DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FILE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA IN OPEN COUR
UNITED	STATES	OF AMERICA,	} Jun 2 4 ₁₉₈₄
vs.		Plaintiff,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
MARION	EUGENE	ROBISON,)
		Defendant.) No. 84-CR-8-C
		ODD '	TO BOD DIGHTOOM

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses Counts 2 and 3 of the Indictment against MARION EUGENE ROBISON, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

(Signed) H. Dale Cook

United States District Judge

Date:

United States o	Arlerica vs. United States I			
DEFENDANT	JOHN DAVID BRADSHAW DOCKET NO. > 8	4-CR-7-C		
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT	ORDE	R AO	245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	моптн 06	DAY 22	YEAR 1984
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel an have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon XX WITH COUNSEL Ron Mook, retained			
	(Name of counsel)			
PLEA	LXX GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that here is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT	GUILTY	
	There being a finding was sixts of [XX] GUILTY. Defendant is discharged	5 ss		
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated	Title 18	, U.S.	.C.,
JUDGMENT	• §201(h) as charged in the Information.			:
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Be was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convict hereby committed to the custody of the Attoropy Ceneral or his judborized expresentative for imprison	cted and ordere	i that: The	the contrary defendant is
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	IT IS ORDERED that the imposition of senten suspended and the defendant is placed on probation of Five (5) Years.			
		To the second se		
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF			2.3	
PROBATION	•	2 504 VER CU		
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gener reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted	or extend the pe	riod of prol	bation, and al
PRODUTION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,	ſ		
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION		It is ordered a certified c and commits shal or other	opy of this nent to the	judgment U.S. Mar-
		L		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SIGNED BY	ct Judge			
L U.S. Magis	2 1 Carlo			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

J. D. BRADSHAW,

a/k/a "Sock,"

Defendant.

No. 84-CR-7-C

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses the Indictment filed February 9, 1984, and the Superseding Indictment filed April 4, 1984, against J. D. Bradshaw, a/k/a "Sock," defendant, with prejudice.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

s/H. DALE COOK

United States District Judge

Date: 6-22-84

United States o		States I			
DEFENDANT	RICHARD L. BARTHOLOMEW DOCKET	r NO. > 84-	CR-22-0	3	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMM	IITMENT	ORD	ER A	0 - 245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		мо п тн 06	DAY 22	YEAR 1984
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of rightand have counsel appointed by the court and the delexant with Counsel Lance A. Pool, appointed (Name	fendant thereupon			
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that here is a factual basis for the plea,	ITENDERE,	NO	r GUILTY	:
FINDING &	There being a finding/wextex of \(\text{LXX} \) GUILTY. Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having \$7203, as charged in Counts 1 and 2 of the	violated	Title :	26, U.:	s.c.,
SENTENCE ~ OR PROBATION ORDER	The court acked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as a hereby committed to the cubically of the Attorney General or his authorized representation of Counts 1 and 2 - The imposition of suspended and the defendant is placed on of Five (5) Years.	harged and convict native for imprised sentence probation	ted and order resetforage is is her for a	red that: Th pode(reby period	र चर् दातावक्षा His
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the usual condition defendant is ordered to pay unto the Unit the sum of \$123.96 as costs of prosecution.	ed States:	of Ame	the erica	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby orde reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of p any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of fin probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	probation, reduce o	r extend the p	period of pro	obation, and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and	recommends,	a certified and comm	ed that the C copy of thi itment to th er qualified	s judgment e U.S. Mar-
SIGNED BY [XX] U.S. Distr	24	, 1984 J			

Date

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	FILE D IN OPEN COURT	Γ
Plaintiff,	JUN 2 2 1984	
vs.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COUR	T
RICHARD L. BARTHOLOMEW,)	
Defendant.) No. 84-CR-22-C	

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses with prejudice COUNT THREE of the INDICTMENT against RICHARD L. BARTHOLOMEW, defendant.

JACK MORGAN

Assistant United States Attorney

460 U. S. Courthouse

Tulsa, OK. 74103 (918) 581-7463

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

(Signed) H. Dale Cook

United States District Judge

Date: June 22, 1984

Entered

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

RUBEN SAENZ and
ALEJO WILSON PEREZ,

Defendants.

No. 84-CR-46

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses the Information against defendants RUBEN SAENZ and ALEJO WILSON PEREZ, without prejudice.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Approved:

Jim H. Heslet, Attorney

for Defendants Saenz and Perez

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

Date: 6-21-84

ISI Robert S. Rizley
United States Magistrate

United States of	America vs.	United States	District Court for
DEFENDANT	PATRICK L. MURRAY	THE MORT RN D	ISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 4-CR-43-BT
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATI		
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date		MONTH DAY YEAR 06 20 84
COUNSEL	► J WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court adv have counsel appointed b	ised defendant of right to counsel a y the court and the defendant thereupo	nd asked whether defendant desired to n waived assistance of counsel.
	XX WITH COUNSEL Larry Oliver &	Bruce Sewell, Retai (Name of counsel)	FILED
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE,	JUN 20 1984
	There being a finding/varant of XX GUILTY	TY. Defendant is discharged	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense section 656 as charged in the	e(s) of having violate	
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the	defendant guilty as charged and conv	icted and ordered that: The deficient
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	Count One - Imposition of se		and the defendant
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that t amount of \$6,000.00 as direc	he defendant make reted by the U.S. Pro	estitution in the pation Office.
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed at reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may char any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation for a violation occurring during the probation period	ige the conditions of probation, reduce probation period of five years permitte	or extend the period of probation, and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the At Approved as to form: Kenneth P. Snoke Asst. U.S. Attorney	torney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY		The same of the sa	NO.
U.S. Magis	TUOURC D angum	nate 6-20-84	A Control of

	America vs.	United States District Court
DEFENDANT	RALPH DAVID GERMAN	HORTHERS DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA DOCKET NO. \$4-CR-30-K
	JUDGMENT AND PROBA	TION/COMMITMENT ORDER A0-245 (6/74
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date ——	
COUNSEL	₩ITHOUT COUNSEL However the court have counsel appoin	rt advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to need by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.
	WITH COUNSEL L Sandra For1	(Name of counsel)
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY
	There being a finding/	GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the of Section 659, as charged in	ffense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C.,
JUDGMENT		
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say	
	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudge	ed the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that The defendant alor his authorized representative for imprisor matter a period of U.C. h
SENTENCE	FIVE (5) YEARS.	U. S. DISTRICT COURT
PROBATION ORDER	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the parole at such time as the provided in T. 18, U.S.C.	e Parele Commission may describe as
SPECIAL	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that	t the defendant may report to the a his own transportation, bym12:00
CONDITIONS OF	noon on July 2, 1984, Pris	or to such date, the defendant is
PROBATION	to committiests alth 674 fi	. S. Marchel's Office in record
	to communicate with the U. to location of institution	. S. Marchel's Office in record
PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed. The Court may	sed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the conditions of probation, and a
PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF	In addition to the special conditions of probation impossible reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maxim probation for a violation occurring during the probation probation probation occurring during the probation proba	issed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and a mum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revok period.
PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maxim	seed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and a mum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revok period. he Attorney General and recommends,
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation impossible reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maxim probation for a violation occurring during the probation probation probation occurring during the probation proba	issed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and a mum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revok period.
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation impossible reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maxim probation for a violation occurring during the probation probation probation occurring during the probation proba	seed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the y change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and a mum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revok period. The Attorney General and recommends, It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar-
PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION COMMITMENT RECOMMEN	In addition to the special conditions of probation impossed reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maxim probation for a violation occurring during the probation period of the court orders commitment to the custody of the	seed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the y change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and a mum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revok period. The Attorney General and recommends, It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar-

Entered

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

MICHAEL DAVIS ODOM,

Defendant.

No. 84-CR-47-B

DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Comes now the Plaintiff pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of Court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses without prejudice the Complaint against Michael Davis Odom, Defendant, for the reason that factual questions have arisen which concern the mental competency of the Defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

LAYN R. PHILLIPS
on ted States Attorney

JACK MORGAN

Assistant United States Attorney 460 U. S. Courthouse Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

Leave of Court is granted for the filing of the foregoing Dismissal.

Dated: 19

U. S. MAGISTRATE